UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,589	03/08/2006	Alain Mazuir	0608-1007	2276
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 02/03/200 OMPSON	9	EXAM	IINER
209 Madison St Suite 500		FERNANDEZ, KATHERINE L		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3768	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/565,589	MAZUIR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	KATHERINE L. FERNANDEZ	3768				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailling date of this com (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	- action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the n	nerits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i> .						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application	٦.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
,		- - - - -				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	ammer. Note the attached office	Action of formal To	-102.			
<u> </u>		(d) (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	have been received					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Gee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	u.				
Attachment(c)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Neterletices Cited (FTO-092) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/565,589 Page 2

Art Unit: 3768

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1, 4 and 13 are objected to because of the following informalities:

With regards to claim 1, in lines 3, 16 and 20, the character "(7)" is not needed and should be deleted. Further, in line 19, it is suggested by the examiner that the phrase "or cause to appear" be deleted.

With regards to claim 4, in lines 3, 5, 15, the character "(7)" is not needed and should be deleted. In line 4, the character "(1)" is not needed and should be deleted. In line 8, the character "(11)" is not needed and should be deleted. Further, in line 18, it is suggested by the examiner that the phrase "or cause to appear" be deleted.

With regards to claim 13, in line 3, the character "(13)" is not needed and should be deleted.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-5 and 8-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With regards to claim 1, in lines 22-24, the phrase "said amplification of the signal corresponding to the energy received in the second band of frequencies being realized from pixel to pixel of the image sensor" is unclear. It is suggested that the phrase be replaced with the following phrase in order to have the limitation more clearly define the

Art Unit: 3768

invention: "an amount of amplification of the signal corresponding to the energy received in the second band of frequencies of said at least two neighbouring pixels".

With regards to claim 12, in line 20, it is suggested that the phrase "two pixels" be replaced with the phrase "two neighbouring pixels" in order to more clearly define the invention.

With regards to claim 16, in lines 4-6, the phrase "said amplification of the signal corresponding to the energy received in the second band of frequencies being realized from pixel to pixel of the image sensor" is unclear. It is suggested that the phrase be replaced with the following phrase in order to have the limitation more clearly define the invention: "an amount of amplification of the signal corresponding to the energy received in the second band of frequencies of said at least two neighbouring pixels".

With regards to claims 15 and 17, the phrase "wherein the action(act) on signals as received by at least two neighbouring pixels provided with filters of different colours is realized with consultation of the energy received on another neighboring pixel" is unclear. The limitations appear to imply that a 3rd (i.e. "another neighboring pixel") is involved in the consultation, whereas certain other claims (i.e. 13, 14) and the specification appear to disclose that a first of said neighboring pixels is used to determine how to use information from the second neighboring pixel for the amplifying step.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-5 and 8-17 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,589 Page 4

Art Unit: 3768

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art does not teach or suggest amplifying a signal corresponding to energy received in a second band of frequencies so as to characterize a difference of biological tissue in an image obtained, by acting on signals as received by at least two neighboring pixels provided with filters of different colors, an amount of amplification of the signal corresponding to the energy in the second band of frequencies of said at least two neighboring pixels in combination with the other claimed elements.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KATHERINE L. FERNANDEZ whose telephone number is (571)272-1957. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5, Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on (571)272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,589 Page 5

Art Unit: 3768

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Eric F Winakur/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3768